



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/879,710	06/12/2001	James N. Bates	P04852US0	3776
22885	7590 04/23/2002			
MCKEE, VOORHEES & SEASE, P.L.C. 801 GRAND AVENUE SUITE 3200 DES MOINES, IA 50309-2721		EXAMINER		
			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/879,710	BATES ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael V. Meller	1651	
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE N - Exten after S - If the If NO - Failun - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. been of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution the set of extended period for reply will, by statution ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>January 2002</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examina	er.	•	
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
•	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) <u> </u>	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			e) (to a provisional application).	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/879,710	Page 2
Art Unit: 1651	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have amended claim 8 to recite 1 mg to about 10 grams to obviate the 112, second paragraph rejection, but have no support for this on page 5 of the specification. It is believed that applicants intended to claim 100 mg to 10g, such an amendment would be supported by the specification.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/879,710	
Art Unit: 1651	

The phrase, "consisting essentially of" is vague and indefinite since it is unclear from the specification as to what "consists essentially of" would exclude.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meisner for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow.

Applicants argue that Meisner has other components in it besides the S-methylcysteine such as calcium, ascorbic acid, etc. It is noted on page 4 of the instant specification that applicants also contemplate including calcium, antioxidants (of which ascorbic acid is commonly used) and the like in with their composition of S-methylcysteine as well. Thus, applicants argument is moot.

Applicants next argue that the composition of Meisner was administered in a different way. Meisner teaches administration of the composition in a variety of ways including oral and topical. Since these include parenteral the argument is moot.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meisner for the reasons of record and for the reasons which follow:

Applicant provides essentially the same arguments as in the above 35 USC 102 rejection. The above arguments are reiterated here.

Application/Control Number:	Page 4
09/879,710	
Art Unit: 1651	

٠.٠

Applicant argues that the examiner has not shown a proper case of anticipation under 35 USC 102, but applicant is reminded that this rejection was under 35 USC 103, not 102.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 703-308-4743. The fax phone

Application/Control Number:	Page 5
09/879,710	
Art Unit: 1651	

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0294 for regular communications and 703-308-0294 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

MVM April 18, 2002

DAVID M. NAFF
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART LINIT 1280